

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.335 of 2018
Date of decision: 11.01.2018

Sunil Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. SK Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order, I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being finally disposed of.

The Haryana Staff Selection Commission (respondent No.1) advertised 38 posts of Station Supervisor in Transport Department Haryana. The petitioner being fully eligible for the post in question submitted his application form in the General Category. He was assigned the roll number and even cleared the written examination conducted on 18.12.2016. The petitioner, along with other successful candidates, was called for scrutiny of documents by the respondents on 26.04.2017. And, his credentials were duly

scrutinized. However, the schedule of interview circulated by the respondents on 30.12.2017 does not reflect the name and roll number of the petitioner amongst the successful candidates, who have been called for interview even though the cut of marks in the General Category are 128 whereas he has secured 132 marks in the written examination. The petitioner purport to have even been represented the respondents in this regard vide representation dated 02.01.2018 (Annexure P9). But to no avail. And the interview is slated to take place on 13.01.2018.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the grievance/representation of the petitioner shall be considered and decided by the respondents. And appropriate orders in accordance with law in this regard shall be passed by 12.01.2018.

That being so, the petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms. Needless to assert that in the event, the claim of the petitioner is accepted and he ought to have been called for the purpose of interview, the necessary orders in this regard shall be passed.

11.01.2018

Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES

Whether Reportable:

NO