

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 3348 of 2018
Date of decision: 21.3.2018**

Prithvi Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Kamal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was employed as Peon with the respondent-department in the year 1999. It is urged that he was entitled to be considered for promotion to the post of Clerk in the year 2006. However, owing to an enquiry conducted by the Vigilance Department, Haryana, and a criminal case registered against him, his claim for promotion was ignored. However, subsequently, he was acquitted, pursuant to an order and judgment dated 18.12.2013 (Annexure P-1). And, resultantly, he was reinstated and promoted to the post of Clerk, vide order dated 27.12.2016 (Annexure P-2), but without awarding him consequential benefits of seniority, increments, re-fixation of pay etc. The limited grievance that he has is; that his claim for promotion ought to have been considered w.e.f. 2006. The petitioner purports to have even represented to the respondents, vide representation dated 24.10.2017 (Annexure P-3). But to no avail.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to consider and decide the claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also his representation dated 24.10.2017 (Annexure P-3) within a period of three months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. And, pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

21.3.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO