

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No.3310 of 2018

Date of Decision: 14.02.2018

Ranjit Singh Sarpanch

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Baldev S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved by two communications dated 01.12.2017 and 09.01.2018, whereby, a letter has been sent by Deputy Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Bureau Unit, Sangrur for sending of the record but nowhere, it has been mentioned that the inquiry has been conducted by the Vigilance Department. It is only the correspondence between two authorities. It cannot be said that the enquiry has been conducted by the Vigilance Department.

No ground is made out to interfere with the matter.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

However, in case, the petitioner is aggrieved at any point of time when the inquiry is initiated, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

14.02.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No