

CWP No. 3285 of 2018

DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 14, 2018

PARTAP CHAND

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PSPCL AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. M.K. Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant him the benefit of 23 years advance promotional increments w.e.f. February 04, 2009 as per the policy under Finance Circulars 17/1990 dated 23.04.1990 amended from time to time with all consequential relief along-with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner stood retired on attaining the age of superannuation on July 31, 2017. He further submits that though a legal notice dated November 25, 2017 (P-6) was served upon the respondents but till date neither any reply to the notice has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken. He, however, submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to decide the afore-said legal notice (P-6) within a date bound manner.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice (P-6) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations, circulars (P-3 to P-5) as well as judgments (P-7 and P-8) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case any adverse order is to be passed, to pass a speaking order and in that event, petitioner shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to him under law.

FEBRUARY 14, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>