

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 328 of 2018
Date of Decision: 11.1.2018**

Manpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

v.

**Additional Deputy Commissioner (J) - cum-Collector-cum-Additional
District Magistrate, SAS Nagar and another**

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present:- Mr. K.S. Derabassi, Advocate, for the petitioner

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J (Oral) :

Petitioner is the son of respondent No.2, who has challenged the order dated 14.11.2017 of the Additional Deputy Commissioner-cum-Collector, SAS Nagar, by which the petitioner has been asked to vacate the house in dispute, in terms of Section 22 of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (in short 'The Act').

The Deputy Commissioner before passing the order, sought the report from the SDM concerned, of the ownership of the property in question. The SDM, Dera Bassi, reported that the property is owned by respondent No.2. Thereafter, the Deputy Commissioner has passed the order as allegations have been made by respondent No.2 against him of his mis-behaviour and harassment caused to her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that though the property is in the name of respondent No.2 but it has been purchased after selling the ancestral property.

However, he has failed to bring on record any such evidence. Therefore, in the absence of any cogent evidence brought on record, it cannot be said that the property in question was ancestral one.

Consequently, present petition is found without any merit and the same is hereby dismissed.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

11.1.2018
Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Reportable	Yes/No