

CWP No. 3258 of 2018

DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 14, 2018

HEERA LAL SHARMA AND ORS.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the representation (P-8) and to grant grade pay ₹5400/- w.e.f. January 01, 2006 as has been granted to the similarly situated Superintendents working in Ministerial Cadre in Governor House after removal of anomaly in the initial pay.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that petitioners stood retired in the years 2006-07 as Superintendents. One of the petitioner namely Heera Lal stood retired as Superintendent on attaining the age of superannuation from the office of respondent No.3, who moved a representation dated August 27, 2014 (P-8) on his behalf as well as on behalf of the other petitioners, to the Government of Haryana as well as to respondent

No.3. Though petitioner-Heera Lal Sharma was informed by the office of respondent No.3 that his representation was sent to the Government vide office memo No. 15725/Acctts-4, dated October 09, 2014 and further that the same is still pending with the Government. The Government of Haryana has not taken any conscious decision till date despite the fact that a period of more than three years has elapsed. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to the Government to decide the representation (P-8) within a date bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and considering the fact that the Government is seized of the matter for the last more than three years, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation (P-8) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case any adverse order is to be passed, to pass a speaking order and in that event, petitioners shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to him under law.

FEBRUARY 14, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>