

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-3113-2018
Date of Decision: 27.02.2018**

SITA RAM

....Petitioner.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

...Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.

This writ petition filed as Public Interest Litigation seeks enquiry into alleged scam pertaining to illegal procurement of wheat by respondent No.4.

2. The petitioner is stated to be a public spirited individual and a commission agent who has his shop at Anaj Mandi, Bhuna, District Fatehabad. It is alleged that respondent No.4, who is also a commission agent at Anaj Mandi, Bhuna, District Fatehabad, in connivance with officials of the State Procurement Agency, has made false entries as complete details of the wheat procurement have not been set out in the register maintained for this purpose.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that respondent No.4, in connivance with the various procurement agencies, has deceived the farmers and has made false and incomplete entries in the register during the procurement of wheat and therefore, matter should be thoroughly investigated by an SIT or a Court monitored investigation by CBI into the entire procurement of wheat.

4. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. The petitioner, who himself is a commission agent at Anaj Mandi, Bhuna, District Fatehabad, has leveled allegations against another commission agent operating at the same Anaj Mandi. The allegations pertain to the procurement of wheat in the year 2011-2012 as is evident from the copies of the documents appended with this petition including Annexure P-5, wherein 17 pages of auction register of the year 2011-12 have been appended. Aside of the copies of the auction register appended in this petition, which also by itself are not a pointer to any scam as alleged by the petitioner, there is no material on record which would warrant a detailed investigation as sought by the petitioner.

6. Moreover, this petition has been preferred after over 06 years of the alleged irregularities/illegalities which had occurred in the year 2011-12. Therefore, the petition would also be liable to be dismissed on account of delay and laches.

7. We, however, refrain from commenting on the bona fides of the petitioner, who has leveled allegations and is seeking probe into the activities of another commission agent of the same grain market as even otherwise, we do not find any merit in this petition.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

27.02.2018
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned :	YES/NO
Whether reportable :	YES/NO