

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 31018 of 2018
Date of Decision : 07.12.2018

Suman

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Vikag Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present case, the petitioner is seeking the release of retiral benefits for which the husband of the petitioner, namely, Rakesh Kumar, was entitled for the service, which he had rendered with the Municipal Council, Kotkapura.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that husband of the petitioner died during service on 08.11.2014 and after that the petitioner has been given the family pension but no other benefits such as DCRG, leave encashment, GPF have been released so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 20.11.2018 (Annexure P-1) and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the legal notice by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any

opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the claim prayed in the present writ petition or in the legal notice, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 20.11.2018 (Annexure P-1) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case after the order, it is found by the respondents that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be released to her within a period of next three months.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

December 07, 2018
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*