

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

**CWP No.30989 of 2018
Date of decision: 07.12.2018**

Surjit Singh and Ors.

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Anu Pal, DAG, Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J.(ORAL)

Heard. Learned counsel for the rival parties.

We have asked State counsel to verify and to take instructions as to why decision was not being rendered.

Today, learned counsel for the State on instructions from police official makes a statement that though there is no decision in writing but the office file note shows that a reasoned order is made. The reason given is that the objection is so vague that no conclusion could be drawn from the said objection. We have also perused the objection and we really find the objection to be hopelessly vague.

In the result, we do not find any fault with the respondents

The petition is dismissed.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

December 07, 2018

Poonam (II)

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No