

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-30923-2018

Decided on: 06.12.2018

M/s. Aman Automobiles and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

Andhra Bank and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Mahavir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the notices dated 01.08.2018 and 01.11.2018 (Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively) issued under Section 13(2) and 13(4) of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets Act and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (in short 'SARFAESI Act').

2. It could not be disputed that against the notice issued under Section 13(4) of the 'SARFAESI Act', an application under Section 17(1) of the said Act is maintainable. In ***United Bank of India vs. Satyawati Tondon and ors., 2010(8) SCC 110*** the apex Court had held that the High Court should not exercise its discretion under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution where an alternative remedy is available to the petitioner under the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of by relegating the petitioners to take recourse to alternative remedy as may be, available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

06.12.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No