

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-30900-2018

Decided on: 06.12.2018

Bank of Baroda

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to pass necessary order to dispose of the application dated 25.01.2007 (Annexure P-3) under Section 14 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that inadvertently proper and necessary party could not be impleaded as respondent in the writ petition.

3. Accordingly, prayer was made that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action by impleading proper and necessary party.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

06.12.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether Reportable

: Yes/No