

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5524 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 09.01.2018**

Suresh Kumar and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present : Mr. Shokeen Singh Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Ajit Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Saurabh Mago, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 4.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents No.2 to 4 to held/conduct the draw of lots and to make allotment of the plot to the eligible petitioners/oustees under the Oustees category in Rajive Gandhi Education City, Sonapat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter-alia*, contends that he has instructions to submit that this petition has been rendered infructuous and he may be permitted to withdraw the same.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the withdrawal of the writ petition.

4. The writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

JANUARY 09, 2018
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned :	YES/NO
Whether reportable :	YES/NO