

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

**CWP-3068-2018
Date of Decision: 15.02.2018**

ROHTASH KUMAR

....Petitioner.

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS .

...Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

The petitioner claiming to be a public spirited person has filed the petition as Public Interest Litigation seeking discontinuation of the Animal Trade Fair being conducted at Village Faggu, Block Bada Gudha, which according to the petitioner is taking place in gross violation of the Haryana Cattle Fairs Act, 1970.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, relied upon Annexure P-6 to buttress his submission that the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Bada Gudha in a communication addressed to the Station House Officer, Roudi on 19.01.2018 had noted that the Animal Trade Fair is being illegally run in village Panchayat Faggu.

3. However, on a query put to learned counsel for the petitioner as to whether any representation for the relief as sought in the present writ petition has been made to the respondents, in reply, learned counsel prayed that he may be allowed to file a detailed comprehensive representation to respondent No.2 and a time-bound direction be issued to respondent

No.2 to decide the same by affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. It was further pointed out that despite the Annexure P-6 issued on 19.01.2018, the Animal Trade Fair at Faggu, District Sirsa was held on 21.01.2018 as verifiable from Annexure P-7.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the writ petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, we dispose of the present writ petition by permitting the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation within a week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order before respondent No.2. In case, such a representation is filed, the same shall be decided by respondent No.2 within a period of next two weeks, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

15.02.2018

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether reportable : YES/NO