IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 30616 of 2018
Date of Decision : 04.12.2018

Jamna Dass

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: ***HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

***

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present case, the petitioner has approached this Court claiming that benefit of revision of pay after the Notification (Annexure P-1), has been extended to the similarly situated persons as the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that even though as per the Notification (Annexure P-1), the amendment was to come into being from 31.05.2017 but still the same has been given w.e.f. 01.01.2016. Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that as per the information supplied to him in the RTI, which has been annexed as Annexure P-2, the salaries of the similarly situated persons have been enhanced w.e.f. 01.01.2016 and hence, the petitioner was in service on 01.01.2016, is entitled for the same benefit.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that with regard to the claim, which has been made in the present writ petition, the petitioner has made a representation dated 17.09.2018 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents

KANCHAN
2018.12.07 12:30
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the representation by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the claim prayed in the present writ petition or in the representation, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 17.09.2018 (Annexure P-3) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case after the order, it is found by the respondents that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be released to him within a period of next three months.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

December 04, 2018 **(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**
kanchan **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No

KANCHAN
2018.12.07 12:30
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh