

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CWP No.30414 of 2018

Date of decision: December 07, 2018

Bhupinder Singh and another

....Petitioners

versus

Central Bank of India

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer made in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-Bank to consider the application dated 17.11.2018 (***Annexure P-11***) in terms of the One Time Settlement Scheme dated 13.08.2018(***Annexure P-10***) and to settle the account of the petitioners under the said scheme.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that certain documents have been received subsequent to the filing of the present writ petition for which a new writ petition has already been filed. Accordingly, a prayer was made by learned counsel that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to pursue the said writ petition in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.
4. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

December 07, 2018
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No