

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-30381-2018

Date of decision: 30.11.2018

Khushboo and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Maharishi Dayanand University and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Ashish Naik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral).

The petitioners are working as Resource Person/Guest Faculty in the Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering, University Institute of Engineering & Technology, M.D. University, Rohtak as per certificate (Annexure P-1). They are seeking direction that they should not be replaced by another set of contractual employees.

The petitioners have been selected for the post of Resource Person/Guest Faculty by duly constituted selecting committee on temporary basis. As per the advertisements (Annexures P-12 and P-13), they are being paid Rs.25,000/- per month.

The short prayer of the petitioners is that they should not be replaced by another set of Extension Lecturers as per the guidelines issued by this Court in *CWP-9300-2015 Mrs. Menka and others V/s. State of Haryana and others*, decided on 05.05.2016.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana,

accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

At this stage, this petition is being disposed of giving direction to the respondents to retain the petitioners and will not be replaced by another set of contractual employees and make them payment of salary during summer vacation as per the *Menka's case supra*.

30.11.2018
Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No