

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4944-2017

Date of decision: 2.4.2018

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr.Amit Mehta, Sr.DAG, Punjab

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondents to consider the case of the petitioner to appoint him as constable (Sports person) as per advertisement No.02/2016 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the State refers to Annexure R-3 to contend that the petitioner has now been enrolled as Constable with the private respondent.

In view of the above, this Court feels that at this stage, the present petition has now been rendered infructuous. Ordered accordingly.

However, considering the fact that the present petition has been disposed of in the absence of learned counsel for the petitioner, if at any stage, learned counsel for the petitioner feels that the necessary relief sought by him has not been granted, he is at liberty to revive the present petition within three months from today.

2.4.2018

gsV

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No