

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3031 of 2018

Date of Decision: 23.02.2018

Ramniwas

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Rajesh Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

1. Argues that when the petitioner was a candidate for Constable (General Duty) in Paramilitary Forces/Central Armed Police Forces in 2015 his height was measured as 177 cm as per candidate's copy Appendix B-1 at Annex P-7 p.41, while in the present recruitment, his height has been measured at 176.5 cm. From this, it is argued that there is a discrepancy in the measurements by the two different recruiting agencies. Therefore, the petitioner's height should be re-measured. In the criteria adopted in the Physical Measurement Test/height 'advantage scores' are given as per height for instance, if candidate stands at 169 to 172 cm he wins 10 marks, if 175 cm then 11 marks, if 178 cm secures weightage of 12 marks and so on and so forth.

2. Whether the petitioner stands at 176.5 cm or 177 cm still he is below 178 cm and, therefore, there is nothing wrong in assigning to him 11 marks for height. It is only if he measures 178 cm that he would get one additional mark i.e. 12 to bring him in the zone of consideration. By no stretch of imagination can the petitioner succeed in this petition for a

direction to either re-measure him or to treat him as 177 or 178 cm in height for him to have the advantage of an additional mark.

3. The petition is misconceived and is dismissed.

23.02.2018
manju

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>