

**125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3026-2018

Date of decision-09.02.2018

Daler Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. O.S.Batalvi, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 17.07.2017 (Annexure P-10) passed by respondent No.6 whereby petitioner was not considered for his promotion to the post of regular Sub Inspector (SI) due to his extension beyond the age of 58 years.

Contents that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the ratio of law laid down in CWP-16766-2017 titled as “Balwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others” decided on 31.07.2017. Further, he states that at this stage he would be satisfied if the instant writ petition is treated as representation and direction is issued to respondent No.6-Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Jalandhar (Rural) to consider and decide the same in a time bound manner.

Heard.

In the circumstances, the petitioner is directed to furnish a copy of the writ petition along with certified copy of the order passed within two weeks from today. Thereafter, respondent No.6- Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Jalandhar (Rural) is directed to treat the copy of present writ petition as representation and decide the same in view of Annexure P-11 by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, the competitive authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not made out, then a speaking order be passed in this regard.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

09.02.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No