

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-30231-2018

Date of decision: 29.11.2018

Mohinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr.MS Kang, Advocate for the petitioners

\*\*\*\*

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.**

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the respondents to frame zones, which are geographically contiguous and contain equal number of votes as required under Sections 10 and 10-A of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No. 4-Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar to consider and decide the legal notice dated 17.9.2018 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms.Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab accepts notices on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete set of paper book has been supplied to her in the Court.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4- Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar to consider and decide the legal notice dated 17.9.2018 (Annexure P-1) within four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of four weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

29.11.2018  
gsv

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking / reasoned?**

**Yes / No**

**Whether reportable?**

**Yes / No**