

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(137)

CWP-30216-2018

Date of Decision: November 29, 2018

Supriya

..Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking directions to the respondent to declare the result in respect of the post of PGT Computer Science for which the petitioner competed under the order passed by this Court in CWP No.25538 of 2018.

The factual matrix is that the respondent had issued an advertisement on 05.07.2015 advertising certain posts including the post of PGT Computer Science. Petitioner applied in pursuant to the same but was not being allowed to compete on the ground of ineligibility. The said action of the respondent was challenged by the petitioner by filing CWP No.25538 of 2018. The said writ petition came up for hearing on 04.10.2018 and the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has cleared the HTET examination and this examination has been cleared after the cut off date. However as per notice dated 22.09.2018 (Annexure P-7) the last candidate called for interview in the general category has secured 104 marks whereas the petitioner has secured 110 marks and after clearing the

HTET examination, she is fully qualified to participate in the selection process.

Notice of motion for 18.03.2019.

In the meantime, the petitioner shall be interviewed.

A copy of this order be given dasti under the signature of Bench Secretary.”

The said writ petition is still pending for 18.03.2019.

After the petitioner participated in the selection, the respondent declared the result on 25.11.2018. The result of the candidates, who competed under the orders of the Court, has not been declared. The present writ petition has been filed seeking a direction for the declaration of the said result.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to explain as to how the second writ petition is maintainable for the declaration of result once the petitioner has competed for the posts under the interim orders of this Court passed in CWP No.25538 of 2018. If the petitioner had any grievance, she could have move appropriate application in the said writ petition, where the petitioner has challenged the action of the respondent for declaring her as ineligible and has got an interim order to compete for the said post. The second writ petition for the declaration of the result is a total abuse of process of this Court.

The present writ petition is dismissed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

November 29, 2018
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No