

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*\*

**CWP No.30175 of 2018**  
**Date of Decision:29.11.2018**

\*\*\*\*\*

Dilbag

. . . . . Petitioner

Vs.

Divisional Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak Mini Secretariat, Rohtak  
others

. . . . . Respondents

\*\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN**  
**HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: - Mr.Piyush Aggarwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

***RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)***

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 14.12.2012 passed by the Assistant Collector, Grade-I, Beri, order dated 15.10.2013 passed by the Collector-Cum-Deputy Commissioner, Jhajjar and order dated 20.8.2018 passed by the Divisional Commissioner, Rohtak by which petition filed by one Narender against the petitioner under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961 for his ejectment from the rasta, falling in Khasra No.891 measuring 0 kanal 10 marla, has been allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that the petitioner, who has been found in possession over the land falling in khasra No.891 (rasta sare-aam), is a part of adjustment as the land of the petitioner has also been encroached upon by some other inhabitants of the village. He has thus prayed that a fresh demarcation may be allowed to ascertain this fact.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after examining the record, are of the considered opinion that there is no merit in his arguments and his prayer for fresh demarcation is hereby rejected as it has been categorically observed by the Divisional Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak in his order dated 20.8.2018 that the land has been demarcated many times though according to the petitioner it was demarcated twice but the prayer made by the petitioner before the Divisional Commission for fresh demarcation was also declined.

No other point has been raised.

In view thereof, we do not find any merit in the present petition and hence, the same is hereby dismissed.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**29.11.2018**

*Vivek*

*Whether speaking /reasoned* : *Yes/No*

*Whether Reportable* : *Yes/No*