

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(140)

CWP-30030-2018

Date of Decision: November 28, 2018

Tek Chand

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasmer Singh Rozera, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that persons junior to the petitioner are getting higher pay and therefore he is entitled for step up of his salary.

In paragraph 2 of the petition, the petitioner has stated that he is at Sr. No. 156 in the seniority and is being paid less basic pay as well as less gross pay as compared to his juniors, which is impermissible.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with legal notice dated 28.09.2018 (Annexure P-7). He further states that the petitioner will be satisfied in case, a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 28.09.2018 (Annexure P-7).

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the legal notice dated 28.09.2018 (Annexure P-7), the respondents are directed to decide the legal notice dated 28.09.2018 (Annexure P-7) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to the monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to the petitioner within three months thereafter.

November 28, 2018
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No