

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(127)

CWP-29993-2018

Date of Decision: November 28, 2018

Arun Kumar

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. A.K. Virdi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present case, the petitioner, who is an IPS Officer, has approached this Court claiming that for the period when the petitioner was working in the Haryana Police Services, he was denied the benefit of ACP after completion of 5 years and 11 years of service on the ground that there was a CBI case going against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has already been acquitted in the said CBI case and after the acquittal, the petitioner has already been promoted to Indian Police Services as well. He further states that once now there is no impediment in the release of the benefits accruing to him under the ACP on completion of 5 years and 11 years of service, the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the same. Counsel further contends that even the suspension period, is liable to be regularized by the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation to the respondents. The said representation is Annexure P-2 which has already been forwarded by the Director General of Police to the Department of Home for passing an appropriate order.

Counsel states that more than three months have elapsed, still no decision has been taken by the Department of Home on the request, which was submitted by the petitioner and duly forwarded by the Director General of Police, Haryana.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Haryana.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, DAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State states that he has no objection, if a direction is given for passing an appropriate order on request of the petitioner in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the above, the respondent is directed to decide the claim as being raised by the petitioner in his representation Annexure P-2, which has been duly forwarded by Director General of Police to the Department of Home within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 28, 2018
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No