

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29940-2018

Date of decision: 28.11.2018

Karnail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms.Kiranjeet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the official respondents to consider and decide the representations/ complaints dated 16.6.2015, 8.9.2015, 1.9.2016, 18.8.2017, 3.10.2017, 4.10.2017, 16.10.2017 and 23.10.2018 (Annexures P-1, P-2, P-7 to P-12 respectively) and take action against respondent Nos. 5 to 16.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, she would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3- Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka to consider and decide the representation dated 23.10.2018 (Annexure P-12) expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms.Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab accepts notices on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete set of paper book has been supplied to her in the Court.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka to consider and decide the representation dated 23.10.2018 (Annexure P-12) within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

28.11.2018
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No