

CWP-2985-2018

-1-

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2985-2018

Date of decision-09.02.2018

Sunena Garg

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.S.Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the pay in the pay-band IV and revised basic pay of the petitioner and make payment of all consequential benefits and interest from the date of entitlement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied if the instant writ petition is treated as representation and direction is issued to respondent No.2- Director Public Instructions, College, Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh to consider and decide the same in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl.AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Heard.

In the circumstances, the petitioner is directed to furnish a copy of the writ petition along with certified copy of the order passed within two weeks from today. Thereafter, respondent No.2- Director Public Instructions, College, Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh is directed to treat the copy of present writ petition as representation and decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, the competitive authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not made out, then a speaking order be passed in this regard.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

09.02.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No