

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29762-2018

Date of decision: 26.11.2018

Darbara Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. SS Sarwara, Advocate for the petitioner

\*\*\*\*

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.**

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for quashing the impugned complaint dated 19.7.2018 (Annexure P-1) filed by respondent Nos. 5 to 12 against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 -Director, Rural Development and Panchayats, Mohali (Punjab) to treat the present petition as a representation and decide the same expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms.Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab accepts notices on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete set of paper book has been supplied to her in the Court.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, Rural Development and Panchayats, Mohali (Punjab) to treat the

present petition as a representation and decide the same as per law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

26.11.2018

gsv

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking / reasoned?****Yes / No****Whether reportable?****Yes / No**