

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

Civil Writ Petition No.4300 of 2017
Date of Decision: November 26th, 2018

Phulla and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. R.D. Sharma and Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocates
for respondents No.14, 15, 21 to 25.

Mr. Gurmail Singh Duhan, Advocate
for respondent Nos.3 (a, c, d, e), 4, 5 (b), 7 (a, b, c, d, e),
8 to 13, 16 to 20, 26 to 34.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is admitted by the counsel for the parties that acquisition proceedings qua the land in question in the present writ petition have been initiated and in the light of the said fact, the present litigation would have no consequences on the acquisition proceedings and even the issue whether the sanad taksim has been finally issued or not would have no impact as each shareholder would be entitled to his share in the compensation.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the parties to revive the same in case the acquisition proceedings do not materialise or the proceedings are challenged and for any reason, the same is set aside.

November 26th, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No