

CWP No. 2962 of 2018 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 2962 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 09.02.2018

Krishan Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of
Haryana, Industries and Commerce Department, Haryana Civil
Secretariat, Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL*
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ajay Kumar Mittal, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court by filing a writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of mandamus for direction to the respondents to consider his case in the same terms as laid down by this Court in CWP No. 10095 of 2013, titled *Rameshwar and others Vs. Financial Commissioner and others* (Annexure P-3) and *Om Parkash Vs. State of Haryana* (Annexure P-4).

2. Claiming parity with the said case, on a query being put to learned counsel for the petitioner as to whether for the relief claimed in this writ petition, any representation has been filed before the concerned authority or not before approaching this Court, learned counsel submits that no such representation has been filed. Learned counsel submitted that she may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition at this stage by permitting the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation before respondent No. 2, within 15

days for the relief claimed in the present writ petition. However, a prayer was made that time bound direction be issued to the said authority to decide the same after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present writ petition and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation, raising all the pleas as raised in the present writ petition before respondent No. 2 within 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, such a representation is filed, the same shall be decided by the said authority by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within next two months in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

February 09, 2018
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>