

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CWP No. 29608 of 2018

Date of Decision : 22.11.2018

Satnarayan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Parveen Maan, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has filed the present writ petition claiming the salary for the period 14.09.2017 till 13.10.2017 and also that the petitioner had already been promoted as a Clerk on 14.09.2017, therefore, he should be paid the salary not of a Peon but of a Clerk. Further, the prayer has been made that the provident fund was also released after more than six months of his retirement and the petitioner is entitled for the interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 14.08.2018 (Annexure P-1), which the petitioner has served upon the respondents, claiming the benefits mentioned above.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioner in the present writ petition or in the legal notice dated 14.08.2018 (Annexure P-1), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice

dated 14.08.2018 (Annexure P-1) served by the petitioner by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 22, 2018

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No