

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.29568 OF 2018

DATE OF DECISION: NOVEMBER 22, 2018

Nisha NandalPetitioner

Versus

Board of School Education, Haryana and another
.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.Jagbir Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

<><><>

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The short prayer raised by the petitioner by filing the instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to the respondent-Authorities to revise her result for the HTET Exam, 2016 (PGT).

During the course of arguments, learned counsel concedes that the issue with regard to revision of the result sought for has already been dealt with in the light of general directions issued in judgment dated 14.7.2017 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Civil Writ Petition No.15979 of 2016 titled as “**Sarita Yadav v. Board of School Education Haryana and others**”, Annexure P5.

Since the prayer made in the instant writ petition, as per counsel, stands already adjudicated upon by the writ Court in

Sarita Yadav's case (supra), instant writ petition is disposed of in

terms of granting liberty to the petitioner to take out appropriate proceedings for vindication of her grievance.

Disposed of as withdrawn.

NOVEMBER 22, 2018
SRM

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

<i>Note:</i>	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
	<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>