

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29524-2018

Decided on: 22.11.2018

Ganga Ram

.... Petitioner

Vs.

AU Small Finance Bank and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Bharat Bhushan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking quashing of the letter dated 31.10.2018 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.1-bank, wherein intimation has been sent to the Tehsildar for taking physical possession.

2. A perusal of the writ petition shows that the petitioner has not even approached the bank nor made any efforts to discharge the liability by making payments after December, 2016.

3. In view of the above, we find that bonafides of the petitioner are totally lacking and in such a situation, petitioner is not entitled to any discretionary relief under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

4. Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

22.11.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No