

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(122)

CWP No. 29409 of 2018
Date of Decision : 21.11.2018

Sawran Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present writ petition, the petitioner is praying that his services should be regularized w.e.f. 01.04.2011 i.e. the date when the services of the persons juniors to the petitioner have been regularized.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that vide order dated 07.11.2017 (Annexure P-4), the services of the persons juniors to the petitioner have been regularized w.e.f. 01.04.2011, whereas the services of the petitioner have been regularized w.e.f. 20.07.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 19.05.2018 (Annexure P-9) in respect of the said claim. Learned counsel states that the petitioner will be satisfied, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide his legal notice dated 19.05.2018 (Annexure P-9) sent to the respondents.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and about the claim of the petitioner being made in the present writ petition, the

present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 19.05.2018 (Annexure P-9) served by the petitioner by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 21, 2018
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*