

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 29395 of 2018

Date of decision : 20.11.2018

Puneet Bhatia & anr.

...Petitioners

V/s

The State of Haryana & ors.

...Respondents

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Ms. Seema Pasricha, Advocate for the petitioners.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Petitioners have impugned the notice received by them under section 47-A of the Act on the basis of a reference made by the concerned authority. Admittedly, petitioners have not filed any reply to the notice and have directly approached this court. In my considered opinion, the writ petition is misconceived. Petitioners have an efficacious remedy by way of a reply to the notice. After exhausting the remedies, if any, cause of action survives, petitioners shall be entitled to file the writ petition. However, present petition is dismissed at this stage being premature.

November 20, 2018

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No