

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CWP-9911-2016

Date of Decision: November 22, 2018

Meena Devi

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of result dated 02.02.2016 whereby she has not been selected for the post of Anganwari Worker. The petitioner is a widow and as per the experience certificate (Annexure P-1), she has worked since 31.05.2011 in Anganwri Centre having Code 175 in the village Chamanpura and having total experience of 04 years and 11 months.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after issuing notice of motion, written statement has been filed by the respondent. Perusal of the result shows that the petitioner has been given 75 marks and the selected candidates Saneh has been awarded 76 marks. In the column of experience upto 1 year 3 marks, 1-3 years 5 marks and more than 3 years 7 marks have to be awarded. The petitioner has been awarded 3 marks out of 7 marks. The experience certificate Annexure P-1 is not being disputed by the respondent in

the written statement. She has experience of more than 3 years and should have been awarded 7 marks. The less marks have been wrongly awarded to the petitioner. Thus, this writ petition deserves to be allowed. As per the experience certificate (Annexure P-1), 4 more marks were to be awarded to the petitioner. By doing so, her marks would be $75+4=79$ marks, which is higher than 76 marks secured by selected candidate Saneh.

Thus, the petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to give appointment letter to the petitioner within two weeks' from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and inform the Court.

November 22 , 2018

*sonia arora***[RITU BAHRI]****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No