

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.2935 of 2018
Date of Decision:08.02.2018**

TARSEM SINGH AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. K.D.S.Hooda, Advocate for the petitioners.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (ORAL)

The Civil Writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India for enforcement and execution of the award of the reference Court under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 whereby, amount of compensation was enhanced vide award dated 3.11.2016 (Annexure P-1).

Admittedly, the petitioner has an alternate and efficacious remedy available by way of filing an execution petition before the competent Forum provided for. The Apex Court in **United Bank of India Vs. Satyavati Tandon and others 2010 (8) SCC 110**, has held that in the presence of alternate and efficacious remedy available the writ court jurisdiction would not be liable to be invoked.

Faced with the situation counsel for petitioners prays for permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to firstly approach the competent Forum.

Ordered accordingly.

08.02.2018

raman

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned
Whether reportable`

Yes/No
Yes/No