

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29251-2018

Date of decision: 19.11.2018

Ashu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Jangvir Singh Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ of prohibition restraining respondent No.4 from adopting illegal, forcible and unconstitutional measures for utilization of funds and doing embezzlement to meet his personal score and also to take take action against him.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 -Deputy Commissioner, Palwal to consider and decide the application dated 3.10.2018 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete set of paper book has been supplied to him in the Court.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Deputy Commissioner, Palwal to consider and decide the application dated 3.10.2018 (Annexure P-1) within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

19.11.2018
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No