

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.29124 of 2018
Date of decision: 19.11.2018**

Om Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. P.K. Chugh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Petitioner is seeking quashing of order dated 26.10.2018 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Chief Administrator, Haryana-respondent No.2, whereby Manoj Dahiya-respondent No.3 has been deputed from Mandi Dabwali to Market Committee, Barwala, Hisar, for 90 days.

Vide order dated 24.09.2018 (Annexure P-1), petitioner, who is working as District Market Enforcement Officer, had been given the charge of Secretary-cum-EO Market Committee, Barwala (Hisar). Thereafter, vide order dated 26.10.2018 (Annexure P-4), respondent No.3 has been deputed in Market Committee, Barwala for 90 days. Grievance of the petitioner is that respondent No.3 cannot be deputed in the Market Committee, Barwala for 90 days.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per instructions dated 01.01.2015 (Annexure P-2), name of respondent No.3 figures in the list (Annexure P-3) of the employees whose names will be added in the ODI list.

Petitioner is working as District Market Enforcement Officer, HSAM, Board, Fathebad and is not adversely affected by the impugned order dated 26.10.2018 (Annexure P-4), whereby respondent No.3 has been deputed in Market Committee, Barwala for 90 days. List (Annexure P-3) does not reflect any date. Moreover, it does not show as to what was pending against respondent No.3.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and going through the contents of the writ petition, no ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

19.11.2018
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No