

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.9672 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 28.02.2018**

Anjuman Falah-E Daarain (Regd.)

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL*
 *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL***

Present: Mr. H.S. Deol, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. Jagmohan S. Ghuman, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

 Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate for respondent No. 2-GMADA.

Ajay Kumar Mittal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court by filing a writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No. 1 and 2 to consider Mosques site/plot as well with regard to the allotment of sites meant for religious purposes vide advertisement Annexure P-2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that since no representation has been filed with the concerned authority making a claim as sought in the present writ petition, he may be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned authority by way of filing a comprehensive representation at the first instance. However, he prays that direction be issued to the concerned authority to decide the same in a time bound manner.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

4. However, it is observed that in the event of petitioner filing a

representation within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, the concerned authority shall decide the same expeditiously in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

February 28, 2018
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>