

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.29111 of 2018
DATE OF DECISION:17.11.2018

Anil Dutt & another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana through Commissioner
& Secretary and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. C. B. Goel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The limited prayer made before us is that representation dated 10.04.2017(Annexure P-7) be decided.

The land of the petitioners was acquired. They sought release of some portion of it which was declined on 13.12.2016. Learned counsel for the petitioners refers to some correspondence between the respondents themselves which suggest that land of the petitioners, release of which is sought, may not be conducive for achieving the purpose of acquisition and an exchange would be a better option .

We are not inclined to comment on the merits of the claim set up by the petitioners in this regard, considering that the prayer for release has already been declined. However, in case the respondents do feel that any other alternative course, more practical is there, they may consider the

CWP No.29111 of 2018

:2:

grievance of the petitioners as contained in the representation dated
10.04.2017(Annexure P-7).

Disposed of.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

17.11.2018
rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No