

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

233

CWP No.9658 of 2016.
Date of Decision: 31.10.2018.

Nachattar Singh and others

....Petitioners.

Versus

Pepsu Road Transport Corporation,
Patiala and another

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Harmandeep S. Bhullar, Advocate, for
Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate, for the respondents.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Present writ petition has been filed by the petitioners for redressal of their grievance which is restricted to the point that their services be counted from the date of their initial appointment, for retiral benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that identical matter was before this Court in case **CWP No.19292 of 2010—Pritam Singh vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation and others**, wherein this Court passed the order that the services rendered by the petitioner is to be considered for the purpose of pensionary benefits as well, but for that purpose the petitioner was to deposit the amount of CPF drawn for the said period alongwith interest to be determined by the corporation within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further contended that the petitioners herein are also ready to deposit the amount of CPF alongwith interest as decided by the respondent-corporation as in case of other employees, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners also contended that the representation dated 21.03.2016 (Annexure-P8) of the petitioners on this subject is still pending and their grievance would be redressed fully if their representation is decided in terms of directions issued by this Court in CWP No.19292 of 2010.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as the matter in controversy has already been decided by this Court in CWP No.19292 of 2010 and the respondents are directed to look into and decide the representation Annexure-P8 of the petitioners, expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months and the said representation be decided in light of decision of this Court in CWP No.19292 of 2010.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

31.10.2018
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No