

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9643 of 2016
Date of decision: 27.03.2018

Priyanka Vats

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Arvinder Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Contends, that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order and judgment dated 5.5.2016, rendered by this Court in CWP No. 16954 of 2015 (**Anurag Chahal and others Vs. State of Haryana and another**), therefore, the present petition too is required to be disposed of in the same terms, and the petitioner is entitled for the same relief.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel, who rather fairly submits that the matter at hands is, indeed, required to be disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court, referred to above.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in **Anurag Chahal's case** (supra).

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

27.03.2018
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO