

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2902 of 2018
DECIDED ON: MARCH 13, 2018**

RAJINDER KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. J.S. Mohri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant her family pension along-with interest @18% p.a. on account death of her husband, who died in harness.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the husband of the petitioner died in harness on March 15, 2012 but till date she has not been granted the benefit of family pension. Though, she moved representations dated May 14, 2014 and July 18, 2017 (P-8) seeking the relief of family pension but till date no action has been taken. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to consider and decide the representations (P-8) within a time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant

petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her representations dated May 14, 2014 and July 18, 2017 (P-8) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations particularly in view of judgments passed by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 2371 of 2010, titled as **Harbans Lal vs. The State of Punjab and others**, decided on August 31, 2010 (P-4) and also by this Court in CWP No. 11802 of 2008, titled as **Paramjit Kaur vs. State of Punjab & others**, decided on May 11, 2016 (P-5) and CWP No. 16918 of 2015, titled as **Ranju vs. State of Punjab and others**, decided on November 04, 2016 (P-6), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case the petitioner still feels aggrieved, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

MARCH 13, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>