

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3547 of 2017

Date of Decision : 22.02.2018

Sarita Kumari

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms. Rajwinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had approached this Court for a direction to the respondents to permit her to participate in the selection process, i.e. scrutiny of the documents, followed by interview, for the post of TGT English, Group-C, except District Mewat (rest of Haryana).

Concededly, the petitioner failed to appear on the appointed date, i.e. 23.01.2017, for scrutiny of documents, and resultantly approached this Court. Vide an interim order dated 28.02.2017, the respondents were required to scrutinize the documents of the petitioner, in the event, she approached the respondents within two days from the said order. Although, the respondents failed to comply with the said order within the specified time, but subsequently the documents of the petitioner were scrutinized by the respondents on 28.07.2017 (Annexure R-4).

Learned State counsel submits, as the limited claim/grievance the petitioner had, has since been redressed, nothing substantive survives in this petition. Further, he submits that the schedule of interview for the post in question is yet to be finalized, and the petitioner shall be duly informed as and when the dates are finalized. And, if she is found to be within the zone of consideration, she shall be interviewed.

In the wake of the above, learned counsel for the petition submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

22.02.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No