

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.1867 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision:24.01.2018

Ramesh Appellant

Vs.

Bimla Devi and others Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present:- Mr. Tarun Yadav, Advocate for
 Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Advocate
 for the appellant.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

The instant appeal was filed in the year 2014. For the first time, it was taken on Board on 08.08.2014. But, since none appeared on behalf of the appellant, therefore, the appeal was adjourned to 09.01.2015. On that date, on request of learned counsel for the appellant for adjournment, the case was adjourned to 19.01.2015. However, on 19.01.2015, a written request was circulated on behalf of the appellant for adjournment, therefore, the case was adjourned to 20.07.2015. Thereafter the case was taken on Board on 21.01.2016 on which date, on prayer made on behalf of the appellant by proxy counsel, the case was adjourned to 23.07.2016 granting last opportunity to the appellant for advancing arguments. Again on said date, the case was adjourned on written request of the appellant to 15.11.2016. However, similar prayer for adjournment was made on 15.11.2016 and the case was adjourned to 25.04.2017 on which date also, on written request on behalf of the appellant, the case was

adjourned to 13.09.2017. Today also, written request has been circulated for adjournment on behalf of the appellant.

From the above factual position, it is evident that the appellant or his counsel are not serious in pursuing the instant appeal despite elapsing around four years. Therefore, declining the prayer made on behalf of the appellant for adjournment, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

January 24, 2018
renu

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No