

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-28865-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:15.11.2018**

Tejinder Singh & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate for
Mr. Gagandeep Jammu, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

A two fold prayer has been made in the instant petition. In the first instance, a writ of mandamus is sought to direct the respondent/ revenue officials to inquire into the correctness and genuineness of mutation No.47943 (Annexure P-2) which is stated to have been sanctioned in favour of respondent No.3. Further directions are sought to direct respondent No.2 to decide an application at Annexure P-4 raising a plea that the mutation afore noticed has been sanctioned without any order in respect to the same.

Counsel having argued the matter at some length, prays for withdrawal of the writ petition to avail alternate remedies that may be available to him strictly in accordance with law.

Disposed of as withdrawn.

15.11.2018

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No