225

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3502-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.12.2018

Manjeet Singh **...Petitioner**

Versus

The Haryana Staff Selection Commission **...Respondent**

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. J.P. Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral).

The petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to consider him for interview having more marks than the last candidate called for interview for the post of Junior Engineer (Mechanical) under SC category.

On 22.02.2017 when notice of motion was issued, learned counsel for the petitioner had stated that as per the answer key, the petitioner had secured 132 marks and he has not been called for interview whereas the candidates securing 120 marks have been called for interview.

After notice of motion, short reply dated 24.05.2017 has been filed by the Commission and as per the OMR sheet (Annexure R-1), the petitioner has secured 112 marks out of 200 marks and the last candidate called for interview has secured 120 marks in the category of petitioner i.e. S.C. Even if the marks of 2 questions which the petitioner is objecting, are given to the petitioner, still he would secure only 116 marks which is less than 120 marks of the last candidate called for interview. This reply was

DIVYANSHI
2018.12.15 12:21
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

filed on 24.05.2017 and no replication has been filed to deny that the marks awarded to the petitioner as per OMR sheet are not rightly calculated.

No case for interference is made out.
Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

04.12.2018
Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

DIVYANSHI
2018.12.15 12:21
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh