

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3406-2017

Date of decision: 16.11.2018

Shyam Dutt and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral).

By this petition, the petitioners are seeking salary for the period April 2016 to July 2016 and part payment of February 2016 to March 2016.

Additional affidavit of Additional Deputy Commissioner has been filed in Court today stating that the petitioners did not work from April, 2016 to July 2016. Hence, they are not entitled for salary.

The stand taken in the written statement is that as per the scheme dated 05.08.2013 (Annexure R-1), the motivators have to do work with respect of 135 new household toilets and they would be paid Rs.10,000/- per month and no two persons can claim remuneration for motivation of a single household.

Further, in para 3 of the additional affidavit, it is stated that remuneration was performance linked and the petitioners have already been paid. The services of the petitioners were terminated vide letter dated 20.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) as their work was not found satisfactory and they were negligent towards their work.

No case for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

16.11.2018
Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No