

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**EFA-5-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 18.01.2018**

Gurmail Singh	Versus	 Appellant.
Ranjit Singh and others		 Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for respondents no. 1, 2, 4 and 20.

None for respondents No. 5 to 8 and 17.

Mr. S.C. Pathela, Advocate for respondent No. 21.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for respondents 1, 2, 4 and 20 has placed on record a copy of the order dated 23.12.2015 vide which the amount in favour of respondents No. 1, 2, 4 and 20 have been deposited and disbursed to them.

Present appellant was a surety for repayment of the said amount. Since, the said amount has been paid, the present appeal has become infructuous.

Therefore, all the proceedings initiated against the surety stands terminated and 1/3rd share of the amount got deposited as directed vide order dated 19.3.2015 before the Executing Court be also returned back to the appellant.

Disposed of in the above terms.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.01.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No