

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9956 of 2015(O&M)

Date of decision : October 12, 2018

Adil Ansari and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CWP No. 13676 of 2015(O&M)

Abdul Razak Khan

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Suvir Sehgal, Senior Standing Counsel for UT with
Ms. Aakanksha Sawhney, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

This order will dispose of two writ petitions bearing
CWP No. 9956 and 13676 of 2015.

The petitioners have approached this Court impugning
the orders dated 2.5.2014 passed by Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-
Assistant Collector Ist Grade-respondent No.3 and order dated 7.4.2015
passed by Deputy Commissioner-cum-Collector-respondent No.2 in appeal
filed against the order dated 2.5.2014.

Learned counsel for the U.T. Chandigarh submitted that
against the order passed by respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner-cum-
Collector, appeal was preferred by Gram Panchayat. Arguments in appeal

have been heard by the Commissioner but final order is awaited.

Learned counsel for the petitioner admitted that same order was challenged before this Court, which is under challenge before the Commissioner in appeal filed by the Gram Panchayat.

Considering the aforesaid facts, we do not deem it appropriate to entertain the present petitions and dispose of the same. However, with liberty to the petitioners to avail appropriate remedy against the order passed by the Commissioner in appeal filed by the Gram Panchayat against the order dated 7.4.2015, which has been impugned in the present petitions.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 12, 2018

archana

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No